

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 275 170

*Reproduced
by the*

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS LABORATORIES

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
CALIFORNIA

A TEST OF THE TWO-SAMPLE PROBLEM WITH
NUISANCE LOCATION AND SCALE PARAMETERS, AND
AN ESTIMATE OF THE SCALE PARAMETER

By

SAUL BLUMENTHAL

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 58

APRIL 16, 1962

PREPARED FOR ARMY, NAVY, AND AIR FORCE UNDER
CONTRACT Nonr-225(53) (NR-042-002)
WITH THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH



A TEST OF THE TWO-SAMPLE PROBLEM WITH NUISANCE LOCATION AND
SCALE PARAMETERS, AND AN ESTIMATE OF THE SCALE PARAMETER

by

Saul Blumenthal

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 58

April 16, 1962

Prepared for Army, Navy and Air Force under
Contract Nonr-225(53) (NR-042-002)
with the Office of Naval Research


Gerald J. Lieberman, Project Director

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted for
any Purpose of the United States Government

APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS LABORATORIES

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

STANFORD, CALIFORNIA



A TEST OF THE TWO-SAMPLE PROBLEM WITH NUISANCE LOCATION AND
SCALE PARAMETERS, AND AN ESTIMATE OF THE SCALE PARAMETER

by

Saul Blumenthal

I. Introduction

We consider here two related problems. The first can be viewed as an extension of the classic two-sample problem which asks whether two samples have the same underlying distribution. Thus, given two independent samples X_1, \dots, X_n ; Y_1, \dots, Y_n where the X_i are mutually independent with common c.d.f $F(x)$, and the Y_i are mutually independent with common c.d.f $G(x)$, the classic two-sample hypothesis is that $F(x)$ equals $G(x)$ and the alternative is that $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ are not identically equal. If the two-sample hypothesis is true and $(AX_i + B)$ is substituted for X_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) ($A > 0$, B real) before the test is made, any consistent test for the classic problem would reject the hypothesis with a high probability. The numbers A and B represent nuisance scale and location parameters and do not affect the form of the distribution of $(AX_i + B)$. Under some circumstances, the relevant question might be whether $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ are of the same form even though they differ through the presence of the above mentioned scale and location parameters. Formally, the hypothesis would be that $F(x) = G(Ax + B)$ for some unspecified pair A, B ($A > 0$, B real). The alternative is that the above equality does not hold. We propose a family of test statistics, all members of which lead to a consistent test of the last named hypothesis under some mild restrictions on the form of $F(x)$ and $G(x)$.

Having described the general hypothesis which we are testing, we shall suggest some specific instances where it would be useful. The range of application will be seen to be essentially the same as that of the classic two-sample test. If the experimenter wants to test whether two distributions are identical and he knows that the measurements on the two populations have been made with instruments whose zero and scale calibrations are different, then he can use the proposed test to eliminate the effect of these extraneous factors. If he knows the numerical values of the zero and scale factors and can adjust the data to a common zero and scale, then the usual tests would be more efficient.

The experimenter might consider two populations the same if they both have distributions of the same form even though they differed in a scale and location parameter. Here again the proposed test is applicable. For example, two machines might be considered interchangeable if some measurable characteristic of their output has the same distribution for each machine. It might not be feasible however at the time of making the test to adjust the machines so that the scale and location parameters of the output distributions are the same. Alternatively, through some accident, the machines might have been adjusted differently at the time the data were collected, and re-adjusted subsequently so that at the time the statistician gets the data there is no way of knowing what the relative scale and location settings were at the time of data collection. Using the proposed test, such data would not have to be discarded.

A further use of the test would be to detect a "linear drift". Suppose it is believed that observations at time zero have a distribution $F(x)$ (unspecified) and that observations at some fixed later time can be

considered to be of the form $AY + B$ where again Y has the distribution $F(x)$. Then the proposed test will reject the hypothesis if either the distribution of Y is not $F(x)$ or if the linear model $AY + B$ is not correct.

The second problem is that of estimating the scale parameter A , assuming that $F(x) = G(Ax + B)$ ($A > 0$, B real). Again a family of estimates is proposed, each member of which provides a consistent estimate of A under the same mild restrictions on $F(x)$ that are made for the test of hypothesis.

This estimation problem could arise in several ways. After accepting H_0 , the experimenter might want an idea of the size of the scale parameter A . Alternatively, assuming H_0 to be true on the basis of theoretical considerations, " A " might represent some physical characteristic which the experimenter wants to measure. If he is unwilling to make specific assumptions about the type of distribution involved, the proposed estimates with their fairly weak assumptions can be used. If, alternatively, the experimenter does know more about the distributions, but finds computing "best" estimates is too difficult, the proposed estimates might again be useful.

A common problem where such an estimate is needed is in the case where a time factor introduces a linear drift into the observations. If all the X 's are assumed to have been measured at time t_0 and the Y 's at another time t_1 , then the assumed model would apply.

In Section 2, we describe the proposed statistics in detail and discuss their properties. Section 3 consists of an example of the

computations involved. The following Section is concerned chiefly with establishing the consistency of the proposed estimates and tests. The important question of the distributions or even the asymptotic distributions of the proposed statistics is discussed only briefly in Section 5. Section 6 raises some additional questions.

2. The Test and The Estimator

Let X_1, \dots, X_n ; Y_1, \dots, Y_n be two independent sets of mutually independent random variables. Let $F(x)$ be the common c.d.f of the X_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and $G(x)$ be the common c.d.f of the Y_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). We shall list in Section 4 the necessary regularity conditions on $F(x)$ and $G(x)$. Let $X'_1 \leq X'_2 \leq \dots \leq X'_n$ be the ordered values of X_1, \dots, X_n and $Y'_1 \leq Y'_2 \leq \dots \leq Y'_n$ be the ordered values of Y_1, \dots, Y_n . Define U_i as $(X'_{i+1} - X'_i)$ and V_i as $(Y'_{i+1} - Y'_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$). Let α, δ, p, u, v be arbitrarily chosen numbers satisfying the following restrictions:

$$(2.1) \quad 0 < \alpha, p \leq 1; \quad 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}; \quad 0 < u < v < 1.$$

We then define the following statistics:

$$(2.2) \quad S_n(p, \delta) = \begin{cases} (\sin \pi p / n \pi p) \sum_{i=[n^{1/2} + \delta]}^{i=[n-n^{1/2} + \delta]} (U_i/V_i)^p & 0 < p < 1 \\ (1/n \log n) \sum_{i=[n^{1/2} + \delta]}^{i=[n-n^{1/2} + \delta]} (U_i/V_i) & p = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(2.3) \quad S_n(p, u, v) = \begin{cases} (\sin \pi p / n \pi p (v-u)) \sum_{i=[nu+1]}^{[nv-1]} (U_i/V_i)^p & 0 < p < 1 \\ (1/n (v-u) \log n) \sum_{i=[nu+1]}^{[nv-1]} (U_i/V_i) & p = 1 \end{cases}$$

where $[x]$ is the greatest integer less than or equal to x .

Similarly, define $S'_n(p, \delta)$ and $S'_n(p, u, v)$ by replacing (U_1/V_1) by (V_1/U_1) in the corresponding definitions for $S_n(p, \delta)$ and $S_n(p, u, v)$.

We desire to test the hypothesis

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} H_0 &: F(x) = G(Ax + B) \\ H_1 &: F(x) \neq G(Ax + B). \end{aligned}$$

The first proposed family of tests is to reject H_0 if the product $S_n(p, \delta)S'_n(p, \delta)$ is "too large". The second proposed family of tests is to reject H_0 if the product $S_n(p, u, v)S'_n(p, u, v)$ is "too large". When $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ satisfy conditions (4.2) to (4.4), we show in Section 4 that $S_n(p, \delta)S'_n(p, \delta)$ converges stochastically to unity when H_0 is true and to a quantity greater than unity otherwise. This implies that the proposed tests are consistent against alternatives $F(x)$, $G(x)$ satisfying these conditions. We show also that the tests are consistent against distributions which satisfy only condition (4.2). Derivations from condition (4.2) can lead to situations in which H_0 is not true and the probability of rejecting H_0 will not tend to unity as n increases. This can happen when one of the distributions has a flat section. An example is given by (4.30). If H_0 is true and conditions (4.2) to (4.4) are violated, the test will tend to accept H_0 in some cases and reject H_0 in others.

Under the weaker assumptions (4.1) the proposed tests based on $S_n(p, u, v)S'_n(p, u, v)$ can be shown to be consistent by the same type of argument as above. Again, violation of this condition will lead to the situation described above. Although the $S_n(p, u, v)$ family of tests requires weaker assumptions regarding the form of $F(x)$ than does the

$S_n(p, \delta)$ family to guarantee the same operating properties, the $S_n(p, u, v)$ tests require that a fixed percentage of the observations must be ignored, whereas the percentage ignored by the $S_n(p, \delta)$ family goes to zero with n . Both families of tests are invariant under separate linear transformation on the X 's and Y 's. This is a reasonable requirement for any test of H_0 .

The choice of p would presumably be dictated by power considerations. Power depends on distributions, and we know almost nothing about these.

Assuming that $F(x) = G(Ax + B)$, we propose to estimate the scale factor A by $S'_n(p, \delta)$ if assumptions (4.2) to (4.4) are satisfied, or by $S'_n(p, u, v)$ if condition (4.1) is satisfied. The consistency of these estimates follows from Theorem 2, Lemma 2, and Theorem 3. Again choice of p depends on the unknown distributions of the statistics.

3. Computational Example

To illustrate the type of computations involved, we shall compute the scale parameter estimate $S'_n(p, .1, .9)$, with $n = 10$ for convenience. The X 's represent ten observations which were taken from a uniform distribution on the unit interval by means of a table of random numbers. The Y 's represent ten observations from a uniform distribution on the interval from zero to five. Thus the factor A to be estimated is five. The table below shows the steps needed to go from the X_i and Y_i to the X'_i and Y'_i (ordered values) to the U_i and V_i (differences) to obtain finally the estimator $S'_n(p, u, v)$. Two values of p are used, $p = 1/2$ and $p = 1$ to illustrate the two types of estimators described.

	X_i	X'_i	U_i	Y_i	Y'_i	V_i	V_i/U_i	$(V_i/U_i)^{1/2}$
1	.72586	.05275	.13538	4.44515	0.06115	0.42775	3.160	1.778
1	.30061	.18813	.04203	0.72285	0.48890	0.23395	5.566	2.359
3	.31694	.23016	.05608	2.78165	0.72285	0.62230	11.097	3.331
4	.18813	.28624	.01437	4.51455	1.34515	1.43650	99.965	9.998
5	.05275	.30061	.01633	0.06115	2.78165	0.57620	35.285	5.940
6	.79607	.31694	.03206	4.77130	3.35785	1.08730	33.914	5.824
7	.34900	.34900	.03940	0.48890	4.44515	0.06940	1.761	1.327
8	.23016	.38840	.33746	4.82835	4.51455	0.25675	0.761	0.872
9	.38840	.72586	.07021	1.34515	4.77130	0.05705	0.813	0.902
10	.28624	.79607	—	3.35785	4.82835	—	—	—

From (2.3), we see that to compute $S'_{10}(1, .1, .9)$, we must sum (V_2/U_2) through (V_8/U_8) , and divide the total by $(.8)(10) \log 10$, which is 18.421. The total is 188.350 and thus our estimate $S'_{10}(1, .1, .9)$ is 10.225. To obtain $S'_{10}(1/2, .1, .9)$, we sum $(V_2/U_2)^{1/2}$ through

$(v_8/u_8)^{1/2}$ and divide by $(8)(10)(\pi/2)$ (since $\sin \pi/2 = 1$) which is 12.566. The total here is 29.651 and thus our estimate $S'_{10}(1/2, .1, .9)$ is 2.360. It is seen that one estimate is more than double the true value while the other is less than half. Thus, neither is particularly good. Since the sample size is quite small, we would not expect the asymptotic properties of the estimator to have any bearing and this example can be considered as an illustration of the danger of using a small sample when the small sample properties of the statistic are not known.

4. Convergence of the Statistics

Let X_1, \dots, X_n ; Y_1, \dots, Y_n and $X'_1 \leq \dots \leq X'_n$; and $Y'_1 \leq \dots \leq Y'_n$ be as described in Section 2. We shall list below regularity conditions on the distributions $F(x)$ and $G(x)$. These conditions will sometimes overlap, and not all of them need be satisfied for the stochastic convergence of a particular family of statistics. We state the conditions in terms of $F(x)$ for convenience.

- (4.1) For a given pair of numbers, u_0, v_0 ($0 \leq u_0 < v_0 \leq 1$) $F^{-1}(u_0)$ and $F^{-1}(v_0)$ are uniquely determined, and $F(x)$ has on $[F^{-1}(u_0), F^{-1}(v_0)]$ a derivative $f(x)$ which has only a finite number of discontinuities, is bounded above, and is bounded away from zero.
- (4.2) $F(x)$ has a derivative $f(x)$ which is bounded for all x , and has only a finite number of discontinuities. Further, there exists a positive value D' such that for any value D in the open interval $(0, D')$, the set of points $\{x : f(x) \geq D\}$ is an interval.
- (4.3) If $f[F^{-1}(r)]$ is not bounded away from zero as r approaches unity then either there is a value t ($0 < t < 1$) such that the quantity $d f[F^{-1}(r)]/dr$ is negative and non-increasing for $r > t$, or the quantity $d f[F^{-1}(r)]/dr$ approaches a finite negative limit as r approaches unity.
- (4.4) If $f[F^{-1}(r)]$ is bounded away from zero as r approaches zero then either there is a value t' ($0 \leq t' < 1$) such that the quantity $d f[F^{-1}(r)]/dr$ is positive and non-decreasing for $r < t'$, or

the quantity $d f[F^{-1}(r)]/dr$ approaches a finite positive limit as r approaches zero.

Note that (4.2) implies (4.1). Also, the second part of (4.2) is satisfied by every unimodal $f(x)$. Conditions (4.3) and (4.4) can be verified by using the fact that $d f[F^{-1}(r)]/dr = d \log f[F^{-1}(r)]/dF^{-1}(r)$. Thus the condition (4.3) says that if $f(x)$ goes to zero as x increases, then there exists a finite y such that $d \log f(x)/dx$ is non-increasing for $x > y$. The conditions (4.3), (4.4) are satisfied by all infinite Polya frequency functions. The exponential, normal and Weibull distributions are well-known examples.

Now we shall consider the question of stochastic convergence. Let $h(t)$ be a bounded non-negative function of t defined for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. For p in the interval $0 \leq p \leq 1$, define $H_n(p)$ as follows:

$$H_n(p) = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h(i/n) (U_i/V_i)^p, \quad 0 < p < 1$$

(4.5)

$$H_n(p) = (1/n \log n) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h(i/n) (U_i/V_i) \quad p = 1.$$

Then we have

Theorem 1. If $F(x) = G(x) = x$, ($0 \leq x \leq 1$), then as n increases, $H_n(p)$ converges stochastically to

$$\begin{aligned} & (\pi p/n \sin \pi p) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h(i/n) & 0 < p < 1 \\ & (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h(i/n) & p = 1. \end{aligned}$$

(4.6)

Proof:

Let W_1, \dots, W_{n-1} and Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-1} be two independent sets of mutually independent random variables with cdf e^{-x} . Let $R_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} W_i$, $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Z_i$. Then it is well known that $(W_1/R_n, \dots, W_{n-1}/R_n)$ and (U_1, \dots, U_{n-1}) have the same joint density. Similarly for $(Z_1/T_n, \dots, Z_{n-1}/T_n)$ and (V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}) . Also R_n is independent of $(W_1/R_n, \dots, W_{n-1}/R_n)$ and T_n is independent of $(Z_1/T_n, \dots, Z_{n-1}/T_n)$. Thus we need only consider the convergence of

$$(4.7) \quad (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h(i/n) (T_n W_i / R_n Z_i)^p \quad 0 < p < 1.$$

Using the strong law of large numbers, and making some straightforward computations, we find that $(T_n/R_n)^p$ converges to unity w.p.1 for all p , $0 < p \leq 1$. Also, for $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$, we find that

$$(4.8) \quad (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h(i/n) (W_i/Z_i)^p$$

converges to (4.6) w.p.1. For $\frac{1}{2} \leq p < 1$, the results in Gnedenko and Kolmogorof [2] on the relative stability of a sum of positive random variables with finite expectations show that (4.8) converges stochastically to (4.6).

For the case $p = 1$, we must consider

$$(4.9) \quad (1/n \log n) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h(i/n) (W_i/Z_i).$$

Again the convergence of (4.9) stochastically to (4.6) follows from results in [2] on asymptotic stability of sums of positive random variables. The boundedness of $h(t)$ is important in all of the above convergence computations. This proves Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. If $F(x) = G(x) = x$ ($0 \leq x \leq 1$), and $\int_0^1 h(t)dt$ exists (in the Riemann sense), as n increases, $H_n(p)$ converges stochastically to

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\pi p / \sin \pi p) \int_0^1 h(t)dt & 0 < p < 1 \\ & \int_0^1 h(t)dt & p = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

If $\int_0^1 h(t)dt$ exists, then as n increases, (4.6) approaches (4.10). This proves the corollary.

Now suppose condition (4.1) is satisfied and let $S_n(p, u, v)$ be defined by (2.3) with $0 \leq u_0 < u < v < v_0 \leq 1$, where u_0, v_0 are given in (4.1). Then we have

Theorem 2. As n increases, $S_n(p, u, v)$ converges stochastically to

$$(4.11) \quad (1/(v-u)) \int_u^v (g[G^{-1}(t)]/f[F^{-1}(t)])^p dt$$

Proof:

If X'_{j+1} and X'_j are both in $[F^{-1}(u), F^{-1}(v)]$, and Y'_{j+1} and Y'_j are both in $[G^{-1}(u), G^{-1}(v)]$, we can write

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} F(X'_{j+1}) - F(X'_j) &= f(\theta'_j)(X'_{j+1} - X'_j), \quad (X'_j \leq \theta'_j \leq X'_{j+1}) \\ G(Y'_{j+1}) - G(Y'_j) &= g(\theta'_j)(Y'_{j+1} - Y'_j), \quad (Y'_j \leq \theta'_j \leq Y'_{j+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Define λ_j as $|F(X'_j) - (j/n)|$ and λ'_j as $|G(Y'_j) - (j/n)|$. By the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem, we know that for any positive δ , $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} n^{1/2-\delta} \lambda_1$ converges stochastically to zero as n increases. Define δ_j as $|X'_j - F^{-1}(j/n)|$. If X'_j and $F^{-1}(j/n)$ are both in the interval $[F^{-1}(u), F^{-1}(v)]$, then since $f(x) \geq A > 0$ on this interval (by (4.1)), we have $\delta_j \leq (\lambda_j/A)$. Then if $X'_j, X'_{j+1}, F^{-1}(j/n)$ and $F^{-1}((j+1)/n)$ are all in the interval $[F^{-1}(u), F^{-1}(v)]$, we have that $|\theta_j - F^{-1}(j/n)| \leq \delta_j + \delta_{j+1} + 1/nA$, and we can write

$$(4.13) \quad F(X'_{j+1}) - F(X'_j) = f[F^{-1}(j/n)] (X'_{j+1} - X'_j) + \gamma_j (X'_{j+1} - X'_j)$$

where $\gamma_j = f(\theta_j) - f[F^{-1}(j/n)]$. But because of the uniform continuity of $f(x)$ in $[F^{-1}(u), F^{-1}(v)]$, the above bound for $|\theta_j - F^{-1}(j/n)|$ and the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem, it is easily seen that $\max_{nu < i < nv} |\gamma_i|$ converges stochastically to zero as n increases. Similarly we can show that

$$(4.14) \quad G(Y'_{j+1}) - G(Y'_j) = g[G^{-1}(j/n)] (Y'_{j+1} - Y'_j) + \gamma'_j (Y'_{j+1} - Y'_j)$$

where $\max_{nu < i < nv} |\gamma'_i|$ converges stochastically to zero as n increases.

Denote $F(X'_{j+1}) - F(X'_j)$ by W_j ($j = 1, \dots, n-1$), and $G(Y'_{j+1}) - G(Y'_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, n-1$) by Z_j . Notice that (W_1, \dots, W_{n-1}) and (Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-1}) are distributed as two independent sets of sample successive differences from the uniform distribution on the unit interval. Using (4.13) and (4.14) we have

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{nu < i < nv} (g[G^{-1}(i/n)]/f[F^{-1}(i/n)])^p (W_i/Z_i)^p = \sum_{nu < i < nv} (U_i/V_i)^p + \sum_{nu < i < nv} (U_i/V_i)^p ((f(\theta_i) g[G^{-1}(i/n)]/g(\theta'_i) f[F^{-1}(i/n)])^p - 1).$$

Using the result of Corollary 1, we have that the left side of (4.15) when properly normalized converges stochastically to (4.11). Let the appropriately normalized second term on the right side of (4.15) be represented by $\bar{S}_n(p, u, v)$. As n increases, the probability approaches unity that $|\bar{S}_n(p, u, v)|$ will be no greater than

$$S_n(p, u, v) \{ (\max_{nu < i < nv} |\gamma_i|^p D^p + \max_{nu < i < nv} |\gamma_i|^p B^p) / A^p C^p \}$$

where $0 < A \leq f(x) \leq B < \infty$ for $F^{-1}(u) \leq x \leq F^{-1}(v)$, and $0 < C \leq g(x) \leq D < \infty$, for $G^{-1}(u) \leq x \leq G^{-1}(v)$. Thus we have that $|\bar{S}_n(p, u, v)|/S_n(p, u, v)$ converges stochastically to zero as n increases. But $S_n(p, u, v) + \bar{S}_n(p, u, v)$ converges stochastically to (4.11) as n increases. This proves Theorem 2.

It is clear that the same proof will show that under condition (4.1), $S'_n(p, u, v)$ (defined in Section 2) converges stochastically to

$$(4.15) \quad (1/(v-u)) \int_u^v (f[F^{-1}(t)]/g[G^{-1}(t)])^p dt.$$

Thus, under condition (4.1), we obtain that as n increases the statistic $S'_n(p, u, v) S_n(p, u, v)$ converges stochastically to

$$(4.16) \quad (1/n(v-u))^2 \left(\int_u^v (f[F^{-1}(t)]/g[G^{-1}(t)])^p dt \right) \left(\int_u^v (g[G^{-1}(t)]/f[F^{-1}(t)])^p dt \right).$$

Now, assume that conditions (4.2) to (4.4) are satisfied. Let $S_n(p, \delta)$ be given by (2.2). Then we have

Theorem 3. If $F(x) = G(Ax + B)$, then as n increases, $S_n(p, \delta)$ converges stochastically to $(1/A)^p$.

Proof:

We assume that either or both of the quantities $\lim_{x \downarrow F^{-1}(0)} f(x)$, $\lim_{x \uparrow F^{-1}(1)} f(x)$ is zero. Otherwise, Theorem 2 applies. For arbitrarily chosen u, v , $0 < u < v < 1$, we have

$$(4.19) \quad S_n(p, \delta) = (v-u) S_n(p, u, v) + b(n, p) \left(\sum_{n^{1/2+\delta} < i \leq nu} (U_i/V_i)^p + \sum_{nv \leq i < n-n^{1/2+\delta}} (U_i/V_i)^p \right)$$

where

$$(4.20) \quad b(n, p) = \begin{cases} \sin \pi p / n \pi p & 0 < p < 1 \\ 1/n \log n & p = 1 \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 2, $(v-u) S_n(p, u, v)$ converges stochastically to

$$(4.21) \quad \int_u^v (g[G^{-1}(t)]/f[F^{-1}(t)])^p dt = (v-u)(1/A)^p.$$

We shall now investigate $\sum_{nv \leq i < n-n^{1/2+\delta}} (U_i/V_i)^p$, the treatment of $\sum_{n^{1/2+\delta} < i \leq nu} (U_i/V_i)^p$ being entirely similar.

Since $F(x) = G(Ax + B)$, we see that (Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) has the same distribution as $(A\bar{Y}_1 + B, \dots, A\bar{Y}_n + B)$ where \bar{Y} has the distribution $F(x)$. Letting the ordered \bar{Y}_i be $\bar{Y}'_1 \leq \dots \leq \bar{Y}'_n$, and the differences be $V'_i = \bar{Y}'_{i+1} - \bar{Y}'_i$ ($i=1, \dots, n-1$), we have that $\sum (U_i/V_i)^p$ has the same distribution as $\sum (U_i/AV'_i)^p$. Thus we want to study the convergence of $\sum_{nv \leq i < n-n^{1/2+\delta}} (U_i/V_i)^p$. Using condition (4.3) and the relation (4.12), we obtain that

$\sum_{nv \leq i < n-n^{1/2+\delta}} (U_i/V_i)^p$ can be bounded above by

$$(4.22) \quad \sum_{nv \leq 1 < n-n} 1/2+\delta \quad (f(\bar{Y}'_1)/f(X'_{i+1}))^p \{(F(X'_{i+1}) - F(X'_1))/ (F(\bar{Y}'_{i+1}) - F(\bar{Y}'_1))\}^p$$

If we can find a bound (say B) for $f(\bar{Y}'_1)/f(X'_{i+1})$, then we would be through, for (4.22) would then be bounded by

$$(4.23) \quad B^p \sum_{nv \leq 1 \leq n} \{(F(X'_{i+1}))/ (F(\bar{Y}'_1) - (F(\bar{Y}'_1)))\}^p .$$

By Corollary 1, (4.23) when normalized to agree with $S_n(p, \delta)$ converges stochastically to $(1-v)B^p$. Thus, by choosing v^* (say) sufficiently large, we will have that

$$P \{b(n, p) \sum_{nv \leq 1 < n-n} (U_i/V_i)^p 1/2+\delta \leq \epsilon\}$$

approaches unity as n increases for each v such that $v^* < v \leq 1$.

To bound $f(\bar{Y}'_1)/f(X'_{i+1})$, we note that if $\bar{Y}'_1 \geq X'_{i+1}$, then unity serves as a bound. Thus we must find a bound (B') for this ratio when $\bar{Y}'_1 < X'_{i+1}$. We can then take $B = 1 + B'$. Letting $t_1 = F(\bar{Y}'_1)$ and $t_2 = F(X'_{i+1})$ (momentarily suppressing 1), we have $t_1 < t_2$, and

$$(4.24) \quad (f(\bar{Y}'_1)/f(X'_{i+1})) = (f[F^{-1}(t_1)]/f[F^{-1}(t_2)]).$$

By the mean value theorem, and the fact that $f[F^{-1}(1)] = 0$, we have

$$(4.25) \quad f[F^{-1}(t_1)] = (1-t_1)(-df[F^{-1}(t)]/dt)_{t=\theta_1} \quad t_1 \leq \theta_1 \leq 1$$

$$f[F^{-1}(t_2)] = (1-t_2)(-df[F^{-1}(t)]/dt)_{t=\theta_2} \quad t_2 \leq \theta_2 \leq 1 .$$

From condition (4.3), we see that there is a value v_1 such that if t_1, t_2 both exceed v_1 , then either $(df[F^{-1}(t)]/dt)_{t=\theta_j}$ ($j=1,2$) is

sufficiently near a limit L , in which case the ratio (4.24) is bounded by $2(1-t_1)/(1-t_2)$, or $df[F^{-1}(t)]/dt$ is a decreasing function of t for $t \geq t_1$. In the latter case, by Lemma 1 below, we have $(1-t_1)/(1-t_2)$ as a bound for the ratio (4.24). Clearly, if $v > v_1$, then as n increases,

$$P \{ F(\bar{Y}_1') > v_1, F(X_{i+1}') > v_1, nv \leq i \leq n \}$$

approaches unity. Thus, we need only to find a bound for

$[1-F(\bar{Y}_1')]/[1-F(X_{i+1}')] , (nv \leq i < n-n^{1/2+\delta})$. Rewriting this last ratio as

$$\frac{\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) n^{1/2-\delta} + n^{1/2-\delta} \left(\frac{1}{n} - F(\bar{Y}_1')\right)}{\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) n^{1-\delta} + n^{1/2-\delta} \left(\frac{i+1}{n} - F(X_{i+1}')\right)}$$

using the Glivenko-Cantelli Theorem (see Theorem 2), and noting that $[(n-1)/n^{1/2+\delta}]$ is greater than unity, we have that

$$P \{ ([1-F(\bar{Y}_1')]/[1-F(X_{i+1}')] < 2, nv \leq i < n-n^{1/2+\delta} \}$$

approaches unity as n increases.

Putting together all of the above pieces, and noting that we can choose u, v so that $(v-u)$ is arbitrarily close to unity, we see that

$$P \{ |S_n(p, \delta) - (1/A)^P| < \epsilon \}$$

approaches unity as n increases. This proves Theorem 3.

Lemma 1. Suppose $f(x)$ is continuous and differentiable on the interval (a, b) , with $f'(x) < 0$ and decreasing. Let $x_1 < x_2$ be in (a, b) . By the mean value theorem,

$$(4.26) \quad f(x_1) = f(b) + (x_1 - b) f'(\theta_1) \quad x_1 \leq \theta_1 \leq b, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then $\theta_2 \geq \theta_1$.

Proof:

First note that

$$(4.27) \quad f(x_1) = f(x_2) - (x_2 - x_1) (f'(\theta_3)) \quad x_1 \leq \theta_3 \leq x_2$$

and that $\theta_3 \leq \theta_1$. If $\theta_1 < \theta_3$, then

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x_1) &= f(b) + (b - x_1)(-f'(\theta_1)) < f(b) + (b - x_1)(-f'(\theta_3)) = \\ &= f(b) + (b - x_2)(-f'(\theta_3)) + (x_2 - x_1)(-f'(\theta_3)). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.27) in (4.28), and noting (4.26) we have $\theta_3 > \theta_2$ which is impossible. Writing $f(x_1) = f(x_2) + (f(x_1) - f(x_2))$, then using (4.26) to represent $f(x_2)$ and (4.27) to represent $(f(x_1) - f(x_2))$ and subtracting the result from the representation of $f(x_1)$ given by (4.26), we obtain

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= (x_1 - b) f'(\theta_1) - (x_2 - b) f'(\theta_2) + (x_2 - x_1) f'(\theta_3) \\ &= (x_2 - b)(f'(\theta_1) - f'(\theta_2)) + (x_2 - x_1)(f'(\theta_3) - f'(\theta_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta_3 \leq \theta_1$, $f'(\theta_1) - f'(\theta_2)$ is positive, or $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2$. This proves Lemma 1.

In the same way that we proved Theorem 2, we can show that under the same conditions, $S'_n(p, \delta)$ converges stochastically to A^p . Putting together these results, we have that $S_n(p, \delta) S'_n(p, \delta)$ converges stochastically to unity as n increases, when $F(x) = G(Ax + B)$, and conditions (4.2) to (4.4) are valid.

We shall now state a Lemma due to Weiss [3], which will be useful below.

Lemma 2. If $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ are two distribution functions and u, v ($0 \leq u < v \leq 1$) are two given numbers, suppose $F^{-1}(u), F^{-1}(v), G^{-1}(u), G^{-1}(v)$ are all uniquely determined. Also suppose that $F(x)$ has a derivative $f(x)$ between $F^{-1}(u)$ and $F^{-1}(v)$, and $G(x)$ has a derivative $g(x)$ between $G^{-1}(u)$ and $G^{-1}(v)$. Then a sufficient condition that $f[F^{-1}(r)] = kg[G^{-1}(r)]$ for almost all r in $[u, v]$ (where k is a positive constant) is that there are two constants C, D ($C > 0$), such that $F(Cx + D) = G(x)$ for all x in the interval $[G^{-1}(u), G^{-1}(v)]$. If in addition, $f(x) > 0$ between $F^{-1}(u)$ and $F^{-1}(v)$, the condition is necessary as well as sufficient.

We omit the proof since it is contained in [3]. Note that Weiss omitted the condition $f(x) > 0$ between $F^{-1}(u)$ and $F^{-1}(v)$ and without this condition the statement is incorrect. A simple counter-example is given by the following pair of distributions:

$$(4.30) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} G(x) = 0 & x \leq 0 \\ & = 2x(1-x) \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1/2 \\ & = 1-2x(1-x) \quad 1/2 \leq x \leq 1 \\ & = 1 \quad 1 \leq x \\ F(x) = 0 & x \leq 0 \\ & = 4x(1-2x) \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1/4 \\ & = 1/2 \quad 1/4 \leq x \leq 3/4 \\ & = 1-4(1-x)(2x-1) \quad 3/4 \leq x \leq 1 \\ & = 1 \quad 1 \leq x \end{array} \right.$$

Here $(f[F^{-1}(r)]/g[G^{-1}(r)]) = 2$ for all r in $[0, 1]$, except $r = 1/2$, but clearly $G(x) \neq F(Cx + D)$ for any pair C, D ($C > 0$).

Now we shall return to the problem of examining $S'_n(p, \delta) S_n(p, \delta)$ when conditions (4.2) to (4.4) hold but $F(x) \neq G(Ax + B)$. We have
Theorem 4. If $F(x) \neq G(Ax + B)$ ($A > 0$), and conditions (4.2) to (4.4) obtain, then as n increases, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(4.31) \quad P \{ S'_n(p, \delta) S_n(p, \delta) + \epsilon \geq [\int_0^1 (f[F^{-1}(t)]/g[G^{-1}(t)])^p dt] \cdot [\int_0^1 (g[G^{-1}(t)]/f[F^{-1}(t)])^p dt] \}$$

approaches unity.

Proof:

Let $S_n(p, \delta)$ and $S'_n(p, \delta)$ be represented as in (4.19). Then note that $S'_n(p, \delta) S_n(p, \delta)$ can be written as

$$(4.32) \quad (v-u)^2 S'_n(p, u, v) S_n(p, u, v) + B(n, p, u, v)$$

where u, v ($0 < u < v \leq 1$) are arbitrary and $B(n, p, u, v)$ is strictly positive. By (4.17) we have that $(v-u)^2 S'_n(p, u, v) S_n(p, u, v)$ converges stochastically to

$$(4.33) \quad \int_u^v h(t) dt \int_u^v (1/h(t)) dt$$

where

$$(4.34) \quad h(t) = (f[F^{-1}(t)]/g[G^{-1}(t)])^p.$$

But by the Lebesgue Monotone Convergence theorem, we know that by making $(v-u)$ close to unity, we can, for any positive ϵ , make (4.33) greater than

$$(4.35) \quad \int_0^1 h(t) dt \int_0^1 (1/h(t)) dt - \epsilon .$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Assuming the conditions (4.2) to (4.4) we can now prove the consistency of the test which rejects H_0 when $S'_n(p, \delta) S_n(p, \delta)$ is "too large." By Lemma 2, we have that when H_0 is not true, $h(t)$ (given by 4.34) is not a constant on $[0,1]$. It can then be shown that

$$(4.36) \quad \int_0^1 h(t) dt \int_0^1 (1/h(t)) dt$$

is greater than unity. Thus using Theorem 4, we have that

$$(4.37) \quad P \{ S'_n(p, \delta) S_n(p, \delta) > 1 \}$$

approaches unity as n increases. This establishes consistency when conditions (4.2) to (4.4) are satisfied. It is easily seen that conditions (4.3) and (4.4) were not essential to the argument. Thus even if only condition (4.2) holds, the test will be consistent. If condition (4.2) is violated, we must allow distributions such as those given in (4.30) and for such distributions it can not be shown that the probability (4.37) approaches unity as n increases.

5. Remarks About Large Sample Distributions.

We shall give a heuristic argument to indicate why for some values of p , $S'_n(p, u, v)$ and $S_n(p, u, v)$ might have a bivariate normal limiting distribution, whereas for other values of p this distribution is not possible. Similar statements can be made about $S'_n(p, \delta)$ and $S_n(p, \delta)$. From the proof of Theorem 2, we see that $S'_n(p, u, v)$ and $S_n(p, u, v)$ have approximately the same joint distribution as

$$b(n, p) \sum_{i=nu}^{nv} \{f[F^{-1}(i/n)]/g[G^{-1}(i/n)]\}^p (W_i/Z_i)^p \quad \text{and} \quad (5.1)$$

$$b(n, p) \sum_{i=nu}^{nv} \{g[G^{-1}(i/n)]/f[F^{-1}(i/n)]\}^p (Z_i/W_i)^p$$

where (W_1, \dots, W_{n-1}) and (Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-1}) have the same joint distributions as the corresponding quantities in Theorem 2, and $b(n, p)$ is given by (4.20).

From the proof of Theorem 1, we see that the quantities in (5.1) have approximately the same joint distribution as

$$b(n, p) \sum_{i=nu}^{nv} (h(i/n))^p (\bar{X}_i/\bar{Y}_i)^p \quad \text{and} \quad (5.2)$$

$$b(n, p) \sum_{i=nu}^{nv} (1/h(i/n))^p (\bar{Y}_i/\bar{X}_i)^p$$

where

$$(5.3) \quad h(i/n) = f[F^{-1}(i/n)]/g[G^{-1}(i/n)]$$

and $(\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_n)$ are independent random variables with c.d.f e^{-x}
 and $(\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_n)$ are independent random variables with c.d.f e^{-y} .

Each of the quantities in (5.2) is a linear combination of independent random variables, and when $p < 1/2$, the first two moments of these variables are finite. Thus, the bivariate central limit theorem applies and the expressions in (5.2) have a limiting bivariate normal distribution. Thus, for $p < 1/2$, it is reasonable to suppose that $S'_n(p, u, v)$ and $S_n(p, u, v)$ have a limiting bivariate normal distribution. When $p = 1/2$, all moments of (\bar{X}_1/\bar{Y}_1) up to the second exist, and each term in (5.2) can be shown to have a limiting normal distribution. Similarly, a bivariate limiting normal distribution for the terms in (5.2) can be obtained from a generalization of the one-dimensional result.

When $p > 1/2$, not all moments of $(\bar{X}_1/\bar{Y}_1)^p$ of order less than two exist. If one of the expressions in (5.2) had a limiting normal distribution, then the expression

$$(5.4) \quad b(n, p) \sum_{i=nu}^{nv} (\bar{X}_i/\bar{Y}_i)^p$$

where $h(i/n)$ is taken to be identically unity would have a normal limiting distribution. However, (5.4) is a sum of independent, identically distributed random variables, and from Cramér[1], Theorem 23, we find that a necessary condition that such a sum have a limiting normal distribution is the existence of all moments of order less than two. Thus, for $p > 1/2$, it is reasonable to say that in general $S'_n(p, u, v)$ and $S_n(p, u, v)$ will not have a limiting bivariate normal distribution. What sort of limiting distribution these quantities do

have when $p > 1/2$, is completely open.

Thus from the viewpoint of being able to say something about asymptotic power, there is some advantage to using the tests with $p \leq 1/2$ rather than those with $p > 1/2$.

6. Further Problems.

Since each member of the family $S_n(p, u, v)$ (for u, v fixed) is a consistent estimator of $(1/A)$, it follows that any linear combination of a finite number of these estimators (with the weighting factors totaling unity) will also be a consistent estimator of $(1/A)$. Similarly, one would guess that if $H(p)$ is a probability distribution on $[0, 1]$ then

$$\int_0^1 (S'_n(p, u, v) dH(p))$$

should also be a consistent estimator of $(1/A)$. It might be worth considering whether there is some $H(p)$ which in some sense gives a "better" estimator than any individual $S_n(p, u, v)$. Similar remarks apply to $S_n(p, \delta)$. In this case, the limiting behavior of $S_n(p, \delta)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ would also be of interest.

It is possible to modify the two-sample problem treated herein so that it becomes a two population test of fit. Namely, suppose that $H(x)$ is a given distribution function. Then under H_0 we have $F(x) = G(Ax + B) = H(Cx + D)$ where A, B, C, D are real but unspecified constants ($A > 0, C > 0$). The question here is not whether $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ are the same "type" of distribution but rather whether they are both the same specific type. This modified problem can be solved by using the statistic Z_n proposed by Weiss [3]. Let $Z_n(x)$ be defined for fixed u, v ($0 \leq u < v \leq 1$) by

$$(6.1) \quad Z_n(X) = \frac{\sum_{nu < j < nv}^n h^2 [H^{-1}(j/n)] (x_{j+1} - x_j)^2}{\left(\sum_{nu < j < nv} h [H^{-1}(j/n)] (x_{j+1} - x_j) \right)^2}$$

and let $Z_n(Y)$ be defined similarly. Then from the results of [3], it is easily seen that under condition (4.1), both of the following tests are consistent

- 1) Reject H_0 if $Z_n(X) Z_n(Y)$ is "too large", or
- 2) Reject H_0 if $Z_n(X) + Z_n(Y)$ is "too large".

Which of these two tests is better depends on their limiting power, which in turn depends on the unknown limiting distributions of the proposed statistics.

7. Acknowledgements.

The author would like to acknowledge his indebtedness to two papers [3] and [4] by Weiss for most of the ideas contained herein. He wishes also to thank Professor G. Lieberman for many helpful suggestions in the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] H. Cramér, Random Variables and Probability Distributions, Cambridge University Press, 1937.
- [2] B. V. Gnedenko and A. N. Kolmogorov, Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Variables, Addison-Wesley, 1954.
- [3] L. Weiss, "Tests of Fit in the Presence of Nuisance Location and Scale Parameters," Ann. Math. Stat., vol. 28 (1957), pp. 1016-1020.
- [4] L. Weiss, "On the Estimation of Scale Parameters," Naval Res. Logistics Quart., vol. 8 (1961), pp. 245-256.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST
CONTRACT Nonr-225(53)

(NR 042-002)

Armed Services Technical Information Agency Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia	10	Chief, Statistics Branch Applied Sciences Division Chemical Corps, U. S. Army Fort Detrick, Maryland Attn: Dr. Clifford J. Maloney	1	Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Quality Control Division (QCC) Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Dr. W. R. Pabst, Jr.	1
AF Plant Representative Evendale Plant General Electric Company P. O. Box 91 Cincinnati 15, Ohio	1	Chief, Dayton Air Procurement Dist. Mobile Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Building 70 - Area "C" Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	1	Chief Arizona Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Div. 2875 Sky Harbor Blvd. Phoenix, Arizona	1
AF Plant Representative Office Oklahoma City Air Materiel Area General Electric Company P. O. Box 91 Cincinnati 15, Ohio	1	Chief, Procurement-Maintenance Engineering Division Fort Monmouth, New Jersey Attn: Procurement Data Branch SIGEL-PMP-1	1	Chief San Diego Air Procurement Dist. San Bernardino Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division P. O. Box 1548 Old San Diego Station 4325 Pacific Highway San Diego 10, California	1
Ames Research Center Moffett Field, California Attn: Technical Library	1	Chief of Ordnance U. S. Army Research and Development Division Washington 25, D. C. Attn: ORDTB	1	Chief, Los Angeles Air Proc. Dist. San Bernardino Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Dendix Bldg. 1206 S. Maple Street Los Angeles, California	1
Atlanta Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Div., WRHAQ 41 Exchange Place Atlanta 3, Georgia	1	Chief Office of Ordnance Research Duke University, Duke Station Durham, North Carolina	1	Chief San Francisco Air Procurement Dist. Sacramento Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 1515 Clay Street Oakland 12, California	1
Ballistics Section Test Branch, A & A Division Yuma Test Station Yuma, Arizona Attn: J. M. Anderson	1	Chief, Surveillance Branch Ballistics Research Lab Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland Attn: Mr. Bruno	1	Chief Atlanta Air Procurement Dist. Warner Robins Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 441 West Peachtree Street N.E. Atlanta, Georgia	1
Boston Air Procurement Dist. Army Base (MAHBO) Boston 10, Massachusetts	1	Chief of Naval Materiel Code M533, Room 2236 Main Navy Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	1	Chief Boston Air Procurement Dist. Middletown Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Boston Army Terminal Boston 10, Massachusetts	1
Bureau of Aeronautics (AV-4422) Department of the Navy Room 2W96, W Building Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. H. R. Thoman	1	Chief of Naval Operations Operations Evaluation Group OP-03EG The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.	2	Chief St. Louis Air Procurement Dist. Oklahoma City Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 1114 Market Street St. Louis 1, Missouri	1
Bureau of Ships, Code 373A Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. A. S. Mathens	6	Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: QC Division	1	Chief Indianapolis Air Procurement Dist. Mobile Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 54 Monument Circle Indianapolis 6, Indiana	1
Bureau of Ships, Code 373B Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. P. Brown	1	Chief, Bureau of Yards & Docks Materiel Division Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. W. Wolman, Code A600	2	Chief Rochester Air Procurement Dist. Middletown Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 20 Symington Place, P. O. Box 1669 Rochester 3, New York	1
Bureau of Ships, Code 373C Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Miss Besse B. Day	1	Chief, Bureau of Yards & Docks Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code M400	1	Chief Cleveland Air Procurement Dist. Mobile Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 1279 W. Third Street Cleveland 13, Ohio	1
Central Contract Management Region Attn: Directorate, Quality Control Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	1	Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Department of the Navy (Ad3) Washington 25, D. C.	1	Chief Cleveland Air Procurement Dist. Mobile Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 1279 W. Third Street Cleveland 13, Ohio	1
Chicago Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Div., OCHQA 5555 South Archer Avenue Chicago 38, Illinois	1	Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code ReUg-3	1	Chief Cleveland Air Procurement Dist. Mobile Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division 1279 W. Third Street Cleveland 13, Ohio	1
Cleveland Air Procurement Dist. 1279 West Third Street Cleveland 13, Ohio Attn: Quality Analysis Section	1	Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: W. S. Koontz (QcF)	1		
Computation Division Directorate of Management Analysis DCS Comptroller, Hq. USAF Washington 25, D. C.	3				

Contract Nonr 225(53)
May 1961

Chief Dallas Air Procurement Dist. San Antonio Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Wilson Building - Room 338 Dallas 1, Texas	1	Commanding Officer S. C. Supply Agency 225 South 18th Street Philadelphia 3, Pennsylvania Attn: Chief, SIGSU-H3d	2	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Magazine (QEL) Concord, California	1
Chief Statistical Engineering Lab. National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.	1	Commander U. S. Naval Air Development Center Johnstown, Pennsylvania	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Mine Depot (QEL) Yorktown, Virginia	1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 346 Broadway New York 13, New York Attn: Dr. J. Laderman	1	Commander U. S. Naval Air Missile Test Center Point Mugu, California Attn: Chief Scientist	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Propellant Plant (QS) Indian Head, Maryland	1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Navy No. 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York	2	Commanding Officer Naval Construction Battalion Center Davisville, Rhode Island	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Propellant Plant Indian Head, Maryland Attn: Mr. Fred Frishman	1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1000 Geary Street San Francisco 9, California	1	Commanding Officer Naval Construction Battalion Center Guilford, Mississippi	1	Commander U. S. Naval Proving Ground Dahlgren, Virginia Attn: Technical Library	1
Commanding Officer Rock Island Arsenal Rock Island, Illinois Attn: R & D Division	1	Commanding Officer Naval Construction Battalion Center Port Hueneme, California	1	Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station China Lake, California Attn: R. Gardner - E. Fay	1
Director for Quality Assurance U. S. Army Chemical Center and Chemical Corp. Materiel Command Army Chemical Center Attn: Asst. for Quality Evaluation Maryland	2	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ammunition Depot (QEL) Navy No. 66 Fleet Post Office San Francisco, California	1	Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station China Lake, California Attn: Dr. D. S. Villars Dr. F. E. McVay	1
Commanding Officer U. S. Army Chemical Procurement District 290 Broadway New York 7, New York Attn: Quality Surety Division Quality Assurance Section	1	Commander U. S. N. O. T. S. Pasadena Annex 3202 E. Foothill Blvd. Pasadena 8, California	1	Commander U. S. Naval Test Station China Lake, California Attn: Technical Library	1
Commanding Officer New York Chemical Procurement Dist. 180 Varick Street New York 14, New York Attn: Quality Surety Division	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ammunition Depot (QEL) Craie, Indiana	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Torpedo Station (QEL) Newport, Rhode Island	1
Commanding Officer Diamond Ordnance Fuze Lab. Attn: Mr. W. S. Leibman Room 100, Building 52 Connecticut Ave. and Van Ness Street Washington 25, D. C.	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ammunition Depot (QEL) St. Juliens Creek Pittsmonth, Virginia	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Torpedo Station Keyport, Washington Attn: Technical Library Quality Evaluation Lab.	1
Commanding Officer Diamond Ordnance Fuze Lab. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Library, Rm. 211, Bldg. 92	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ammunition Depot Banger (QEL) Bremerton, Washington	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Underwater Ordnance Station Newport, Rhode Island Attn: Technical Library	1
Commanding Officer Diamond Ordnance Fuze Lab. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: H. Walter Price Chief, Branch 62.0	1	Commanding General U. S. Army Ord. Arsenal, Frankford Attn: Mr. N. J. Miller (6130) Bldg. 235-1 Philadelphia 37, Pa.	1	Commanding Officer & Director U. S. Naval Boiler and Turbine Lab. Naval Base Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	1
Commanding Officer Dugway Proving Ground Dugway, Utah Attn: Richard Janssen Materiel Testing (TD&A)	1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory Corona, California Attn: Clayborn L. Graves, Code 63	1	Commanding Officer and Director U. S. Navy Electronics Lab. San Diego 52, California	1
Commanding General Ordnance Ammunition Center Joliet, Illinois Attn: ORDLY AR-V	1	Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory Surveillance Dept. MED Corona, California	1	Commander Materiel Laboratory New York Naval Shipyard, Naval Base Brooklyn 1, New York Attn: A. Walner	1
		Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory White Oak Silver Spring, Maryland Attn: Mr. R. E. Hightower Mr. P. B. Morgan Dr. H. Ellingsen	1 1 1	Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Radiological Defense Lab. San Francisco, California	1

Contract Now 225(53)
May 1961

Commanding Officer & Director U. S. Navy Underwater Sound Lab. Fort Trumbull New London, Connecticut	1	Commander San Bernardino Air Materiel Area Attn: Assistant for Quality Directorate of Maintenance Engineering Norton AFB, California	1	Commander Rome Air Force Depot Attn: Directorate of Supply and Services Griffiss AFB, New York	1
Commander Mobile Air Materiel Area Attn: Assistant for Quality Directorate of Maintenance Engineering Brookley Air Force Base Alabama	1	Commander San Bernardino Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Procurement and Production Norton AFB, California	1	Commander Air Materiel Force, European Area Attn: Quality Control Office APO 633, New York, New York	1
Commander Mobile Air Materiel Area Attn: Materiel Quality Division Directorate of Supply & Services Brookley Air Force Base Alabama	1	Commander San Bernardino Air Materiel Area Attn: Materiel Quality Division Directorate of Supply and Services Norton AFB, California	1	Commander Air Technical Intelligence Center Attn: (AFIN-4 CI) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	1
Commander Mobile Air Materiel Area Attn: QC Division Directorate of Procurement & Production Brookley Air Force Base Alabama	1	Commander Warner Robins Air Materiel Area Attn: Assistant for Quality Directorate of Maintenance Engineering Robins AFB, Georgia	1	Commander Air Materiel Command Attn: Quality Control Office, MCQ Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	10
Commander Mobile Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Officer, MDQ Brookley Air Force Base Alabama	2	Commander Warner Robins Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Office, WRQ Robins AFB, Georgia	2	Commander Middletown Air Materiel Area Attn: Assistant for Quality Directorate of Maintenance Engineering Olmsted Air Force Base Middletown, Pennsylvania	1
Commander Southern Air Materiel Area, Pacific Attn: Quality Control Office Clark AFB, AP074 San Francisco, California	1	Commander Warner Robins Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Procurement & Production Robins AFB, Georgia	1	Commander Middletown Air Materiel Area Attn: Materiel Quality Division Directorate of Supply and Service Olmsted Air Force Base Middletown, Pennsylvania	1
Commander Air Materiel Force, Pacific Area Attn: Quality Control Office FEAMCOM Air Base, APO 323 San Francisco, California	1	Commander Warner Robins Air Materiel Area Attn: Materiel Quality Division Directorate of Supply and Services Robins AFB, Georgia	1	Commander Middletown Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Procurement & Production Olmsted Air Force Base Middletown, Pennsylvania	1
Commander Northern Air Materiel Area, Pacific Attn: Quality Control Office FEAMCOM Air Base, APO 323 San Francisco, California	1	Commander Oklahoma City Air Materiel Area Attn: Assistant for Quality Directorate of Maintenance Engineering Tinker Air Force Base Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	1	Commander Middletown Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Office, MAQ Olmsted Air Force Base Middletown, Pennsylvania	2
Commander Sacramento Air Materiel Area Attn: Assistant of Quality Directorate of Maintenance Engineering McClellan AFB, California	1	Commander Oklahoma City Air Materiel Area Attn: Materiel Quality Division Directorate of Supply and Services Tinker Air Force Base Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	1	Commander Memphis Air Force Depot Mallory Air Force Station Attn: Directorate of Supply and Services 3300 Jackson Avenue Memphis 1, Tennessee	1
Commander Sacramento Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Procurement and Production McClellan AFB, California	1	Commander Oklahoma City Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Procurement and Production Tinker Air Force Base Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	1	Commander Memphis Air Force Depot Mallory Air Force Station Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Maintenance Engineering 3300 Jackson Avenue Memphis 1, Tennessee	1
Commander Sacramento Air Materiel Area Attn: Materiel Quality Division Directorate of Supply and Services McClellan AFB, California	1	Commander Oklahoma City Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Offices, OCQ Tinker AFB, Oklahoma	2	Commander San Antonio Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Office, SBQ Norton AFB, California	2
Commander Sacramento Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Office, SMQ McClellan AFB, California	2	Commander Rome Air Force Depot Attn: Assistant for Quality Directorate of Maintenance Engineering Griffiss AFB, New York	1	Contract Nonr 225(53) May 1961	

Commander San Antonio Air Materiel Area Attn: Assistant for Quality Directorate of Maintenance Engineering Kelly Air Force Base, Texas	1	Engineering Statistics Group Research Division New York University New York 53, New York	1	Logistics Research Project George Washington University 707 22nd Street, N. W. Washington 7, D. C.	1
Commander San Antonio Air Materiel Area Attn: Materiel Quality Division Directorate of Supply and Services Kelly AFB, Texas	1	Engineering Statistics Unit Chemical Corps Engineering Agency Army Chemical Center, Maryland Attn: Mr. T. M. Vining, Chief	1	Milwaukee Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Div. 770 N. Plankinton Avenue Milwaukee 3, Wisconsin	1
Commander San Antonio Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Procurement and Production Kelly AFB, Texas	1	Federal Telephone and Radio Co. 100 Kingsland Road Clifton, New Jersey	1	Military Medical Supply Agency 84 Sands Street Brooklyn 1, New York Attn: In-Store Quality Control Materiel Inspection Branch	1
Commander San Antonio Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Procurement and Production Kelly AFB, Texas	1	Field Inspection Section DES Division Armed Services Medical Procurement Agency 84 Sands Street Brooklyn 1, New York	1	N. A. C. A. 1512 H. Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Div. of Res. Information	1
Commander San Antonio Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Office, SAQ Kelly AFB, Texas	1	Mrs. Dorothy Gilford, Head Logistics and Mathematical Statistics Branch Code 436 Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C.	3	Newark Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Division 218 Market Street Newark, New Jersey	1
Commander San Antonio Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate Special Weapons Kelly AFB, Texas	1	Military Clothing & Textile Supply Agency Philadelphia Quartermaster Center U. S. Army 2800 So. 20th Street Philadelphia 1, Pa. Attn: Policy Office, Technical Division	1	New York Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Div. 111 East 16th Street New York 3, New York	1
Commander Ogden Air Materiel Area Attn: Assistant for Quality Directorate of Maintenance Engineering Hill AFB, Utah	1	Headquarters San Bernardino Air Materiel Area U. S. Air Force Norton AFB, California Attn: Chief, Planning & Control Offices	1	Office, Asst. Secretary of Defense (R&E) Room 3E1065, The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Technical Library	1
Commander Ogden Air Materiel Area Attn: Materiel Quality Division Directorate of Supply and Services Hill AFB, Utah	1	Headquarters U. S. Army Signal Equipment Support Agency Fort Monmouth, New Jersey Attn: SIGF/MES-PPE	1	Operations Analysis Office Headquarters, Pacific Air Forces U. S. Air Force, AFQ/953 Attn: C. E. Thompson Senior Analyst San Francisco, California	1
Commander Ogden Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Division Directorate of Procurement and Production Hill AFB, Utah	1	Headquarters AMC Ballistic Missiles Center, USAF Air Force Unit Post Office Attn: E. J. Lancaster, Deputy for Quality Assurance Los Angeles 45, California	1	Office, Chief of Engineers Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Procurement Division Military Supply	2
Commander Ogden Air Materiel Area Attn: Quality Control Office, OQO Hill AFB, Utah	2	Industrial Division Office, Chief of Ordnance Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. Seymour Lorber	1	Office of Operation Analysis DCS/Operations Elgin AFB, Florida	1
David Taylor Model Basin Applied Mathematics Lab. (Code 820) Washington 7, D. C. Attn: Dr. Julius Lieblein	1	Inspection and Quality Control Div. Office, Asst. Secretary of Defense (S&L) Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. Irving B. Altman	1	Office of the Chief (R&D), U. S. Army Arlington Hall Station Arlington, Virginia Attn: Dr. I. R. Hershner, Jr.	1
Detroit Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Div. MOHDQ W. Warren Avenue & Lonyo Blvd. Detroit 32, Michigan Attn: MOHDQPQ	1	Inspection and Quality Control Div. Office, Asst. Secretary of Defense (S&L) Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. John J. Riordan	1	Officer in Charge U. S. Navy Central Torpedo Office Newport, Rhode Island Attn: Mr. G. B. Habicht	1
Director National Security Agency Attn: REMP-1 Fort George G. Meade Maryland	1	Library Institute for Defense Analysis Communications Research Division Von Neumann Hall Princeton, New Jersey	1	Ordnance Corps Industrial Engineering Div. Diamond Ordnance Fuze Lab. Washington 25, D. C.	1
Director, Development Division Field Command Armed Forces Special Weapons Project Albuquerque, New Mexico	1	Librarian Numerical Analysis Research University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Ordnance Mission White Sands Proving Ground Las Cruces, New Mexico Attn: Mr. Paul G. Cox	1
Eastern Contract Management Region Attn: Directorate, Quality Control Olmsted AFB, Pennsylvania	1	Logistics Research Division Attn: MCFR Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	1	Philadelphia Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Division 1411 Walnut Street Philadelphia 2, Pennsylvania	1

Contract Nonr 225(53)
May 1961

Physical Research Branch Evans Signal Lab., SCEL Belmar, New Jersey Attn: Mr. Joseph Weinstein	1	U. S. N. O. T. S. Pasadena Annex 3202 E. Foothill Blvd. Pasadena 8, California Attn: Mr. S. Gaspar	1	Dr. A. Charnes The Technological Institute Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois	1
The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California Attn: Library	1	Western Contract Management Region Attn: Directorate, Quality Control Mira Loma AF Station, California	1	Mr. W. H. Clatworthy Bettis Plan, Westinghouse Electric Corporation Box 1468 Pittsburgh 30, Pennsylvania	1
Report Library University of California Los Alamos Scientific Lab. P. O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, New Mexico	1	Dr. Adam Abruzzi Dept. of Economics and Engineering Stevens Institute of Technology Hoboken, New Jersey	1	Professor Paul Clifford New Jersey State Teachers College Montclair, New Jersey	1
Rocket Development Group Redstone Arsenal Huntsville, Alabama Attn: Lt. E. L. Bombara	1	Mr. William E. Gilbert, Chief Mathematical Statistics Branch Atomic Energy Commission Washington 25, D. C.	1	Professor W. C. Cochran Department of Statistics Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts	1
San Francisco Air Procurement Dist. Attn: Quality Control Division Oakland Army Terminal, Bldg. 1 West Grand & Maritime Oakland 14, California	1	Professor T. W. Anderson Department of Mathematical Statistics Columbia University New York 27, New York	1	Professor C. C. Cockerham Institute of Statistics State College Section North Carolina State College Raleigh, North Carolina	1
Scranton Ordnance Plant 156 Cedar Avenue Scranton, Pennsylvania Attn: Mr. Carl D. Larsen Chief Inspector	1	Professor Fred C. Andrews Mathematics Department University of Oregon Eugene, Oregon	1	Professor Edward P. Coleman Engineering Department University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1
Special Project Office SP2016 Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Dr. H. Weingarten	1	Dr. Max Astrachan Department of Logistic The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	Dr. Louis Court Division 17 National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.	1
Standards Branch Procurement Division DCS Logistics, U. S. Army Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mr. Silas Williams, Jr.	1	Professor Robert Bechhofer Sibley School of Mech. Engineering Cornell University Ithaca, New York	1	Miss Gertrude M. Cox Institute of Statistics North Carolina State College Raleigh, North Carolina	1
Statistical Laboratory University of California Berkeley 4, California	1	Dr. R. E. Beckwith Aeronautics Ford Road Newport Beach, California	1	Dr. Joseph Daly U. S. Census Bureau Washington 25, D. C.	1
Technical Information Officer Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C.	6	Professor J. N. Berrettini Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio	1	Professor Cyrus Derman Department of Industrial Engineering Columbia University New York 27, New York	1
Technical Operation, Inc. and C. O. R. G. Hq. Continental Army Command Fort Monroe, Virginia	1	Mr. Carlton M. Beyer Office of Guided Missiles Office of Asst. Secretary of Defense (R&E) Washington, D. C.	1	Mr. H. F. Dodge Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey	1
U. S. Naval Avionics Facility Indianapolis 18, Indiana Attn: Library	1	Professor Z. W. Birnbaum Laboratory of Statistical Research Department of Mathematics University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington	1	Dr. Francis Dresch Stanford Research Institute 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, California	1
U. S. Naval Engineering Experiment Station Annapolis, Maryland Attn: Mr. F. R. DelPriore	1	Professor Russell Bradt Department of Mathematics University of Kansas Lawrence, Kansas	1	Professor Acheson J. Duncan Department of Industrial Engineering Johns Hopkins University Baltimore 18, Maryland	1
U. S. Naval Inspector of Ordnance 400 S. Belger Street Mishawaka, Indiana	1	Professor Irving W. Burr Department of Mathematics Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana	1	Professor Meyer Dwass Department of Mathematics Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois	1
U. S. Naval Inspector of Ordnance Eastman Kodak Company 50 W. Main Street Rochester 14, New York	1	Mr. G. Burrows Knolls Atomic Power Lab. Schenectady, New York	1	Professor D. A. S. Fraser Department of Mathematics University of Toronto Toronto 5, Canada	1
U. S. Naval Inspector of Ordnance Statistics Department Naval Gun Factory Washington, D. C. Attn: G. D. Hock	1	Captain A. E. Chapman AFPR Boeing Aircraft Corporation Seattle, Washington	1		

Contract Nonr 225(53)
May 1961

Mr. Bernard P. Goldsmith Associate Professor Northwestern University Huntington Avenue Boston 15, Massachusetts	1	Professor W. D. Jones Department of Statistics Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan	1	D. E. Newnham Chief, Industrial Engineering Division Comptroller Hdqs., San Bernardino Air Materiel Area Horton Air Force Base, California	1
Professor Leo A. Goodman Statistical Research Center University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois	1	Mr. J. P. Kearney Quality Control Division General Services Administration Room 6316, Region 3 Building Washington 25, D. C.	1	Professor J. Neyman Department of Statistics University of California Berkeley 4, California	1
Mr. Leon Gilford Operations Research Inc. 8605 Cameron Street Silver Springs, Maryland	1	Professor Oscar Kempthorne Statistics Laboratory Iowa State College Ames, Iowa	1	Mr. Monroe Norden Research Division College of Engineering New York University New York 53, New York	1
Dr. J. Greenwood Directorate of Intelligence Hq. U. S. Air Force Washington 25, D. C.	1	Professor Solomon Kullback Department of Statistics George Washington University Washington 7, D. C.	1	Mr. Fred Okano National Aeronautics & Space Administration Reliability & Systems Analysis Office Room A-131 1520 "H" Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C.	1
Professor Frank M. Gryna, Jr. University College Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey	1	Dr. Carl F. Kossack Statistics and Operations Research Research Center - IBM P. O. Box 218, Lamb Estate Yorktown Heights, New York	1	Professor E. G. Olds Department of Mathematics Carnegie Institute of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania	1
Dr. Donald Guthrie Stanford Research Institute 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, California	1	Mr. Howard Laitin The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	Professor E. R. Ott Department of Mathematics Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey	1
Dr. Theodore E. Harris The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California	1	Dr. E. L. LeClerc, Chief Biometrical Services Agricultural Research Service, USDA Beltsville, Maryland	1	Mr. Cyril Peckham Project Globe University of Dayton Dayton, Ohio	1
Dr. Leon H. Herback Department of Mathematics New York University New York 3, New York	1	Professor Sebastian B. Littauer 411 Engineering Building Columbia University New York 27, New York	1	Dr. Richard Post Department of Mathematics San Jose State College San Jose, California	1
Professor W. Hirsch Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University New York 3, New York	1	Dr. Eugene Lukacs Department of Mathematics Catholic University Washington 17, D. C.	1	Professor P. H. Randolph Purdue University Department of Industrial Engineering Lafayette, Indiana	1
Dr. Paul G. Hoel Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Dr. Robert Lundegard Logistics and Mathematical Statistics Branch Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C.	1	Professor George J. Resnikoff Department of Industrial Engineering Illinois Institute of Technology Chicago 16, Illinois	1
Professor Harold Hotelling Associate Director Institute of Statistics University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina	1	Professor Frank Massey School of Public Health University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Dr. Paul R. Rider Chief Statistician Aeronautical Research Lab., WADC Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	1
Professor L. Hurwicz School of Business Administration University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota	1	Professor G. W. McElrath Department of Industrial Engineering University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minnesota	1	Professor Herbert Robbins Mathematical Statistics Department Columbia University New York 27, New York	1
Mr. Rudolf Husser Visiting Research Mathematicians Numerical Analysis Research University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Dr. Paul Meyer Department of Mathematics Washington State University Pullman, Washington	1	Dr. Harry G. Romig 351 Alma Real Drive Pacific Palisades, California	1
Dr. James R. Jackson Management Sciences Research Project 63 Administration Building University of California Los Angeles 24, California	1	Dean Paul E. Mohn School of Engineering University of Buffalo Buffalo, New York	1	Dr. Harry Rosenblatt Statistical Research Division Bureau of Census Washington 25, D. C.	1
Dr. W. C. Jacob Agronomy Department University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois	1	Mr. R. B. Murphy Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc. 463 West Street New York 14, New York	1	Professor Murray Rosenblatt Department of Mathematics Brown University Providence, Rhode Island	1

Contract Nonr 225(53)
May 1961

		<u>Other Foreign Addresses</u>	
Professor Herman Rubin Department of Statistics Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan	1	Professor Mason Wescott Editor, Industrial Quality Control Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey	1
Professor Norman Rudy Statistics Department Sacramento State College Sacramento, California	1	Professor S. S. Wilks Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey	1
Miss Marion M. Sandomire U. S. Department of Agriculture Western Regional Laboratory Biometrical Services Albany 10, California	1	Professor J. Wolfowitz Department of Mathematics Cornell University Ithaca, New York	1
Professor I. Richard Savage School of Business Administration University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota	1	Dr. Max A. Woodbury Department of Mathematics College of Engineering New York University New York 53, New York	1
Professor L. J. Savage Mathematics Department University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan	1	<u>Distribution via ONR London</u>	
Professor Henry Scheffé Department of Statistics University of California Berkeley 4, California	1	Commanding Officer Branch Office Navy No. 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York	1
Professor Robert Schaller Graduate School of Business Administration Harvard University Boston 63, Massachusetts	1	Dr. William R. Buckland 22 Hyder Street London S. W. 1 England	1
Professor Seymour Sherman Department of Mathematics Wayne State University Detroit 2, Michigan	1	Professor Georges Darmon Director, Institut de Statistique University of Paris 11 Rue Pierre Curie Paris 5, France	1
Mr. Walter Shewhart Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc. Murray Hill, New Jersey	1	Professor R. Fortet Institut Henri Poincaré Paris, France	1
Dr. Rosalind Sitgreaves Teachers College Columbia University New York 27, New York	1	Dr. Geoffrey Gregory 4, Osborne Grove Watley, Chislehurst Cheshire, England	1
Dr. Milton Sobel Statistics Department University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota	1	A. Hald Fersovej 83 Virum, Denmark	1
Professor Frank Spitzer Department of Mathematics University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota	1	Dr. H. C. Hamaker Philips Research Laboratories Eindhoven, Netherlands	1
Mr. Arthur Stein Cornell Aeronautical Lab., Inc. P. O. Box 235 Buffalo 21, New York	1	Mr. I. D. Hill Statistical Advisory Unit Ministry of Supply London W. 1, England	1
Professor W. Allen Wallis School of Business University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois	1	Professor M. G. Kendall London School of Economics London, England	1
Dr. Irving Weiss The Milre Corporation Bedford, Massachusetts	1	Professor A. Walther Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, Germany	1
Captain Burton L. Weller AFPR Office Martin Aircraft Corporation Denver, Colorado	1	Additional copies for project leaders and assistants, office file, and reserve for future requirements	
		70	
		Contract No. 225(53) May 1961 (425)	